## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

In re: ARC AIRBAGS INFLATORS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

**ALL CASES** 

Case No.: 1:22-md-03051-ELR

MDL No. 3051

Judge: Eleanor L. Ross

PLAINTIFFS' REPLY IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF NOTICE OF NHTSA SUPPLEMENTAL INITIAL DECISION

In their Response to Plaintiffs' Notice of NHTSA's Supplemental Initial Decision (Dkt. 268), Defendants mischaracterize the Supplemental Initial Decision and its bearing on this case. Plaintiffs submit this reply to correct the record.

As a threshold matter, the Court can take judicial notice of the Supplemental Initial Decision. Defendants' assertion that the decision "falls into none of the . . . categories of documents that may be considered on a motion to dismiss" (Dkt. 268, at 2) is plainly incorrect. *See, e.g., In re ZF-TRW Airbag Control Units Prods. Liab. Litig.*, 601 F. Supp. 3d 625, 689 (C.D. Cal. 2022) (on a motion to dismiss, taking judicial notice of publicly available information connected to NHTSA's defect investigation); *In re Toyota Motor Corp. Hybrid Brake Mktg., Sales Practices & Prods. Liab. Litig.*, 890 F. Supp. 2d 1210, 1216 & nn.2, 4-5 (C.D. Cal. 2011) (on a

motion to dismiss, taking judicial notice of publicly available information connected to NHTSA's defect investigation "as records of an administrative agency").<sup>1</sup>

Nor does the fact that the Supplemental Initial Decision "is not final" (Dkt. 268, at 1) preclude the Court from considering it, especially given that the Supplemental Initial Decision was published in the Federal Register and thus indisputably forms part of the public record in this investigation. See Winzler v. Toyota Motor Sales U.S.A., Inc., 681 F.3d 1208, 1213 (10th Cir. 2012) ("The contents of an administrative agency's publicly available files, after all, traditionally qualify for judicial notice . . . . "); La. United Bus. Ass'n Self Insurers Fund v. Ford Motor Co., 2002 WL 34408429, at \*1 (W.D. La. Sept. 9, 2002) (taking judicial notice of various NHTSA documents published in the Federal Register, including "Advance Notice of Proposed Standard" and notices of proposed rulemaking); *Tovar* v. Midland Credit Mgmt., 2011 WL 1431988, at \*1-2 (S.D. Cal. Apr. 13, 2011) (taking judicial notice of notice of proposed rulemaking because it is a "document[] that [is] administered by or publicly filed with the administrative agency").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> *Turner v. Williams*, which Defendants cite, does not address judicial notice; rather, the court of appeals there held an affidavit attached as an exhibit to the complaint "forms part of the Complaint and controls even where the Complaint itself says something different." 65 F.4th 564, 583 n.27 (11th Cir. 2023). Here, by contrast, the Supplemental Initial Decision *entirely supports* Plaintiffs' allegations. *See infra* pp. 3-4.

Defendants also erroneously contend the Supplemental Initial Decision "is irrelevant to any issues presented by the pending motions to dismiss." Dkt. 268, at 1. Plaintiffs assert consumer protection and fraud-by-omission claims under all 50 states and the District of Columbia based on Defendants' failure to disclose the Inflator Defect. *See generally* Dkt. 157-1 (Pls.' Corrected Consol. Class Action Compl. (Vol. II)). These claims may require Plaintiffs to show that Defendants knew or should have known about the Inflator Defect or that Defendants' knowledge of the defect was superior to Plaintiffs'. *See*, *e.g.*, Dkt. 221 (Pls.' Mem. of Law in Opp'n to Automaker Defs.' Mot. to Dismiss), at 29-31.

The Supplemental Initial Decision recounts in detail Defendants' awareness of the Inflator Defect, including field ruptures dating from January 2009 to March 2023 (Dkt. 267-1, at 63478-81), and NHTSA's investigation—which began in July 2015—implicates the vast majority of Defendants in this lawsuit (*id.* at 63475-76).<sup>2</sup> These facts support Plaintiffs' allegations that Defendants knew or should have known about the Inflator Defect, and that Defendants' knowledge of the defect was superior to that of its customers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For instance, as part of its preliminary investigation, NHTSA issued Standing General Orders 2015-01 and 2015-02, which obligated ARC and the Automaker Defendants "to report any alleged or suspected inflator field rupture" to the agency. Dkt. 267-1, at 63475.

NHTSA also determined previous lot-based recall efforts were "insufficient to address the defect" because "the risk of rupture pervades the entire subject inflator population and, as such, a recall for all subject inflators is needed." Dkt. 267-1, at 63477 & n.31. This conclusion reinforces Plaintiffs' argument that presentment of the Class Vehicles for repair would have been futile because "the Automakers have failed to offer a permanent, effective fix." Dkt. 221, at 18.

Finally, Plaintiffs allege Defendants were under a duty to disclose the Inflator Defect due to its safety-related nature. *Id.* at 32-33. In its Supplemental Initial Decision, NHTSA concludes that the Inflator Defect "is related to motor vehicle safety because a risk of inflator rupture presents an unreasonable risk of death or injury in the event of an accident." Dkt. 267-1, at 63486. The agency also specifies that every hybrid, toroidal inflator manufactured by ARC is at risk for rupture. *Id.* at 63490. These facts further support Plaintiffs' argument that "[v]ehicles with defective airbags that can shoot shrapnel at occupants *are unsafe and unreliable*, and therefore not merchantable." Dkt. 221, at 22 (emphasis in original).

Dated: August 23, 2024

### /s/Roland Tellis

Roland Tellis
rtellis@baronbudd.com
David Fernandes
dfernandes@baronbudd.com
Adam Tamburelli
atamburelli@baronbudd.com
Jay Lichter
jlichter@baronbudd.com
Sterling Cluff
scluff@baronbudd.com
BARON & BUDD, P.C.

15910 Ventura Blvd #1600 Encino, California 91436 Tel: (818) 839-2333 Respectfully submitted,

### /s/ Demet Basar

Demet Basar demet.basar@beasleyallen.com W. Daniel "Dee" Miles, III dee.miles@beasleyallen.com J. Mitch Williams mitch.williams@beasleyallen.com Dylan T. Martin dylan.martin@beasleyallen.com BEASLEY, ALLEN, CROW, METHVIN, PORTIS & MILES, P.C.

272 Commerce Street Montgomery, Alabama 36104 Tel: (334) 269-2343

H. Clay Barnett, III (GA Bar 174058) clay.barnett@beasleyallen.com
Thomas P. Willingham (GA Bar 235049)
tom.willingham@beasleyallen.com
BEASLEY, ALLEN, CROW,
METHVIN, PORTIS & MILES,
P.C.

Overlook II 2839 Paces Ferry Rd SE, Suite 400 Atlanta, GA 30339 Tel: (404) 751-1162

#### /s/ James E. Cecchi

James E. Cecchi jcecchi@carellabyrne.com

## CARELLA, BYRNE, CECCHI, BRODY & AGNELLO, P.C.

5 Becker Farm Road Roseland, New Jersey 07068 Tel: (973) 994-1700

Zachary Jacobs zjacobs@carellabyrne.com

## CARELLA, BYRNE, CECCHI, BRODY & AGNELLO, P.C.

222 South Riverside Plaza Chicago, Illinois 60606 Tel: (973) 994-1700

Jason H. Alperstein
jalperstein@carellabyrne.com
Zachary J. Bower
zbower@carellabyrne.com
CARELLA, BYRNE, CECCHI,
BRODY & AGNELLO, P.C.
2222 Ponce De Leon Blvd.

Miami, Florida 33134 Tel: (973) 994-1700

#### /s/ Elizabeth T. Castillo

Niall P. McCarthy nmccarthy@cpmlegal.com Elizabeth T. Castillo ecastillo@cpmlegal.com David G. Hollenberg dhollenberg@cpmlegal.com COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP

840 Malcolm Road Burlingame, CA 94010 Tel: (650) 697-6000

Hannah K. Brown hbrown@cpmlegal.com
Theresa E. Vitale
tvitale@cpmlegal.com
COTCHETT PITRE A

# COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP

2716 Ocean Park Blvd., Suite 3088 Santa Monica, CA 90405 Tel: (310) 392-2008

#### /s/ David Stellings

David Stellings dstellings@lchb.com Michael J. Miarmi mmiarmi@lchb.com Katherine I. McBride kmcbride@lchb.com Gabriel A. Panek

gpanek@lchb.com

## LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP

250 Hudson Street, 8th Floor New York, New York 10013-1413 Tel: (212) 355-9500

Nimish R. Desai ndesai@lchb.com

# LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP

275 Battery Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Tel: (415) 956-1000

### /s/ Kevin R. Dean

Kevin R. Dean (GA Bar 214855) kdean@motleyrice.com Ann K. Ritter aritter@motleyrice.com Lance V. Oliver loliver@motleyrice.com Sara O. Couch scouch@motleyrice.com

### MOTLEY RICE LLC

28 Bridgeside Boulevard Mount Pleasant, South Carolina 29464 Tel: (843) 216-9000

#### /s/ Matthew D. Schultz

Matthew D. Schultz mschultz@levinlaw.com William F. Cash bcash@levinlaw.com LEVIN, PAPANTONIO, RAFFERTY, PROCTOR, BUCHANAN, O'BRIEN, BARR & MOUGEY, P.A.

316 S. Baylen St., Suite 600 Pensacola, FL 32502 Tel: (850) 435-7140

Leadership Committee for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Classes

## /s/ Michael A. Caplan

Michael A. Caplan (GA Bar 601039) mcaplan@caplancobb.com T. Brandon Waddell (GA Bar 252639) bwaddell@caplancobb.com Ashley C. Brown (GA Bar 287373) abrown@caplancobb.com

### **CAPLAN COBB LLC**

75 Fourteenth Street NE, Suite 2700 Atlanta, Georgia 30309 Tel: (404) 596-5600 /s/ M.J. Blakely

M.J. Blakely (GA Bar 708906) mjblakely@blakelyfirm.com

THE BLAKELY FIRM, L.L.C.

P.O. Box 3314 Decatur, GA 30031 Tel: (404) 491-0617

Co-Liaison Counsel for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Classes